

# Signal Sources and Acquisition Choices for Columnar and Laminar fMRI

### Saskia Bollmann

Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

7T National Imaging Facility Fellow (Acting)

Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, United States Department of Radiology, Harvard Medical <u>School, Charlestown, United States</u>



### **Cortical Architecture**









### Measuring brain function using functional MRI



- 2 main partitioning axes of the cortex<sup>1</sup>
  - perpendicular to the surface  $\rightarrow$  laminar
  - parallel to the surface  $\rightarrow$  columnar
- fMRI measures tightly controlled<sup>2</sup> vascular response following activity in large ensembles of neurons<sup>3</sup>
- high-resolution fMRI at ultra-high field provides the necessary resolution and sensitivity<sup>4</sup> to resolve *depth-dependent<sup>5</sup>* and *topographic*<sup>6</sup> signals

<sup>1</sup>Harris and Mrsic-Flogel, Nature, 2013; Shamir and Assaf, medRxiv, 2020

<sup>2</sup>Silva and Koretsky, PNAS, 2002; Sheth et al., J Neurosci, 2004; Hillman et al., NI, 2007; Tian et al., 2010, PNAS <sup>2</sup>Iadecola, Nat Neurosci Reviews, 2004

<sup>4</sup>Bollmann and Barth, Progress in Neurobiology, 2020 (in print)

<sup>5</sup>Barth and Norris, NMR Biomed, 2007; Polimeni et al., NI, 2010; Olman et al., PlosOne, 2012; Huber et al., Neuron, 2017 <sup>6</sup>Engel et al., Nature, 1994; Sereno et al., Science, 1995; Wandell et al., Neuron, 2007; Silver and Kastner, Trends in Cogn Sciences, 2009; Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., J Neurosci, 2012; Besle et al., J Neurphysiology, 2013; Puckett et al., Neuroimage, 2017; Wessinger et al., HBM, 1997; Bilecen et al., Hearing Research. 1998; Talavage et al., J Neurphysiology, 2004; Ahveninen et al., NI, 2016

High-resolution fMRI | 2020-20-21

Amunts et al., Science, 2013 Logothetis, Science, 2008



## High-resolution fMRI acquisition

- high-resolution fMRI  $\rightarrow$  sub-millimetre resolution
- majority of studies utilize a 3D EPI acquisition

#### 2D EPI





## High-resolution fMRI acquisition

- high-resolution fMRI  $\rightarrow$  sub-millimetre resolution
- majority of studies utilize a 3D EPI acquisition
  - higher temporal SNR than 2D at sub-millimetre resolution<sup>1</sup>







## High-resolution fMRI acquisition

- high-resolution fMRI  $\rightarrow$  sub-millimetre resolution
- majority of studies utilize a 3D EPI acquisition
  - higher temporal SNR than 2D at sub-millimetre resolution<sup>1</sup>
  - low SAR/better slice profile
- current parameter 'optimum'
  - 0.7 1 mm resolution
  - 25 35 ms TE
  - 2-3 s TR (partial coverage)
  - R = 4
  - 6/8 no PF
- current limitations
  - image fidelity (blurring & B<sub>0</sub>)
  - resolution/TE

G) 0.79 mm T  $_2^*$  (functional EPI)



Huber et al., NI, 2020



### Modelling depth-dependent fMRI signal changes in human V1<sup>1</sup>





Atena Akbari

<sup>1</sup>Markuerkiaga et al., NI, 2016; Akbari et al., ISMRM, 2020; <sup>2</sup>Poser et al., NI, 2014; <sup>3</sup>Huber et al., MRM, 2014



### Modelling laminar fMRI signal changes in human V1<sup>1</sup> blood oxygenation cerebral blood volume

blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD)

**T**<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>



SS-SI-VASO<sup>1</sup>

3D EPI readout<sup>2</sup>

voxel size = 0.8mm x 0.8mm x 0.8 mm

 $TR = 2 \times 2500 \text{ ms}$ 

TE = 26 ms

TI = 650 ms

FOV = 160mm x 160mm x 21mm

 $T_{ACQ} = 51 \text{ min}$ 



vascular-space-occupancy (VASO)







## Measuring the effects of attention to individual fingertips in somatosensory cortex<sup>1</sup>





### Ashley York Alex Puckett

<sup>1</sup>Puckett et al., NI, 2017; York et al., ISMRM, 2020 <sup>2</sup>Poser et al., NI, 2010

#### UHF Australia Workshop



## Measuring the effects of attention to individual fingertips in somatosensory cortex<sup>1</sup>

3D EPI readout<sup>2</sup>

voxel size = 0.8mm x 0.8mm x 0.8mm

TR = 2000 ms

TE = 30 ms

FOV = 160mm x 160mm x 39mm

 $T_{ACQ} = 60 \text{ min (per condition)}$ 





attention





<sup>1</sup>Puckett et al., NI, 2017; York et al., ISMRM, 2020 <sup>2</sup>Poser et al., NI, 2010



### Measuring brain function using functional MRI



- 2 main partitioning axes of the cortex<sup>3</sup>
  - perpendicular to the surface  $\rightarrow$  laminar
  - parallel to the surface  $\rightarrow$  columnar
- fMRI measures tightly controlled<sup>1</sup> vascular response following activity in large ensembles of neurons<sup>2</sup>
- high-resolution fMRI at ultra-high field provides the necessary resolution and sensitivity<sup>4</sup> to resolve *depth-dependent<sup>5</sup>* and *topographic*<sup>6</sup> signals

<sup>1</sup>Silva and Koretsky, PNAS, 2002; Sheth et al., J Neurosci, 2004; Hillman et al., NI, 2007; Tian et al., 2010, PNAS <sup>2</sup>Iadecola, Nat Neurosci Reviews, 2004

<sup>3</sup>Harris and Mrsic-Flogel, Nature, 2013; Shamir and Assaf, medRxiv, 2020

<sup>4</sup>Bollmann and Barth, Progress in Neurobiology, 2020 (in print)

<sup>5</sup>Barth and Norris, NMR Biomed, 2007; Polimeni et al., NI, 2010; Olman et al., PlosOne, 2012; Huber et al., Neuron, 2017 <sup>6</sup>Engel et al., Nature, 1994; Sereno et al., Science, 1995; Wandell et al., Neuron, 2007; Silver and Kastner, Trends in Cogn Sciences, 2009; Sanchez-Panchuelo et al., J Neurosci, 2012; Besle et al., J Neurphysiology, 2013; Puckett et al., Neuroimage, 2017; Wessinger et al., HBM, 1997; Bilecen et al., Hearing Research. 1998; Talavage et al., J Neurphysiology, 2004; Ahveninen et al., NI, 2016

white matter

High-resolution fMRI | 2020-20-21

Amunts et al., Science, 2013 Logothetis, Science, 2008



### Contrast mechanism in time-of-flight (TOF) angiography



**Blood deliver time** 





### Architecture of the pial arterial vasculature



Duvernoy, Springer, 2000

High-resolution fMRI | 2020-20-21

- blood delivery times<sup>1</sup>
  - 200 700 ms
- imaging regime of small vessels<sup>2</sup>
  - Ø ≤ 200 µm
- branching pattern<sup>3</sup>
  - right-angled

<sup>1</sup>Alsop et al., MRM, 2015 <sup>2</sup>Duvernoy et al., Brain Research Bulletin, 1981 <sup>3</sup>Rowbotham and Little, Br. J. Surg., 1965



### Effect of voxel size on time-of-flight contrast

@ 200 µm 1000 900 relative flow-related enhancement 800 3 blood delivery time (ms) 700 600 500 - 46 % 400 75 % 300 200 100 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 isotropic voxel size (mm)

Haacke et al., MRM, 1990 von Morze et al., JMRI, 2007 Mattern et al., MRM, 2018



### Pial arterial vasculature I

TR = 20 ms **θ** = 18° TE = 6.56 msslab thickness = 8.32 mm GRAPPA = 2 $T_{ACQ} =$ 11 min 16 s

6 slabs







### Pial arterial vasculature II

TR = 20 ms **θ** = 18° TE = 6.99 msslab thickness = 7.28 mm  $T_{ACQ} =$ 21 min 53 s 3 slabs prospective motion correction

Mattern et al., MRM, 2018 High-resolution fMRI | 2020-20-21









## Empirical results: effect of voxel size

0.16 x 0.16 x 0.16 mm<sup>3</sup>



### 0.14 x 0.14 x 0.14 mm<sup>3</sup>





### Conclusion

- High-resolution (f)MRI is a valuable tool to study human brain function
  - versatile  $\rightarrow$  various contrast mechanisms
  - non-invasive  $\rightarrow$  characterize variability
  - large field-of-view  $\rightarrow$  brain as a network
- Current limitations are acquisition related, not physiological
  - address venous bias through modelling or acquisition
  - main limitation is the image encoding



### Thank you

Markus Barth Alex Puckett Ashley York Atena Akbari Simon Robinson Nicole Atcheson Aiman Al-Najjar Jonathan Polimeni Michaël Bernier Daniel Park Avery Berman

### Oliver Speck Hendrik Mattern



THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND AUSTRALIA



National Institutes of Health



Australian Government

National Health and Medical Research Council







